Microbiome and metabolome alterations in Nrf2 knockout mice with induced gut inflammation and fed with phenethyl isothiocyanate and cranberry enriched diets
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# Abbreviations

ARE - antioxidant response element

CDCA - chenodeoxycholic acid

DCA - dichloroacetic acid

DSS – dextran sulfate sodium

GCDCA - glycochenodeoxycholic Acid

GDCA - glycodeoxycholic acid

LCA - lithocholic acid

MCA - muricholic acid

NRF2- NF-E2-related factor 2

OTU – operational taxonomic unit

PCA – principal components analysis

PEITC - phenethyl isothiocyanate

qPCR - quantitative polymerase chain reaction

**Keywords**: microbiome, Nrf2, PEITC, cranberry, DSS

# 

# Abstract

## Scope

Cranberries are enriched with antioxidants and can help prevent bacterial infections, while



**55**

phenethyl isothiocyanate (PEITC) found in cruciferous vegetables has

anti-cancer and anti-

inflammatory properties.

Incorporating these into diet may have potential health benefits for human gut. Interactions of microbiome and metabolites with the host’s cells play crucial roles in maintaining gastrointestinal (GI) tract balance.

## Methods and results

alteration microbiomes and metabolomes by cranberry and PEITC enriched diets in (WT) the diets’

wild-type

and Nrf2 knockout (KO) mice, and

In this study, we focused on the

of gut



**71**

**63**

**80**

**2**

**1**

potential reducing risk inflammation. higher alpha diversity nberry and PEITC limited the inflammatory effect

of dextran sulfate

in

the

of

Nrf2 KO mice had

compared to WT.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Cra | |
|  | | inc |
|  | | |

reased diversity

altered the

sodium (DSS) and

the

of

mouse gut microbiota. DSS challenge

metabolites The

production of several

while PEITC and cranberry feeding reversed the changes.

enriched diets modulated the metabolic responses to induced inflammation likely via microbial composition alterations. short-chain fatty (SCFA)

amino acids such as glutamate, phenylalanine and proline, and

Nrf2 KO mice had lower levels of

acids

and

secondary bile

higher levels of



**50**

**513**

dichloroacetic gut

acids such as

acid (DCA) and lithocholic acid (LCA) that are produced by

bacteria, and muricholic acid (MCA) that it is a primary bile acid produced by mice including germ-free mice.

## Conclusions

Compared to WT, Nrf2 KO mice microbiomes exhibited higher richness and diversity. The results also suggest that PEITC and cranberry-enriched diets positively affected the hosts’ microbiomes and increased the production of microbial metabolites. Additionally, the dietary supplements showed the reversal of the negative induced inflammation

effect of DSS-

on the



**1**

two major phylum in the hosts’ intestines. Taken together, indicates phenotypic impacted microbiota metabolic reprogramming induced by DSS-mediated inflammation and dietary supplements of cranberry and PEITC.

balance of Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes, the

this study

that the

expression of Nrf2

the

and



**70**

## Scope

Cranberries are enriched with phytochemicals with potent antioxidant properties, while phenethyl isothiocyanate (PEITC) found abundantly in crucifers, possesses anti-cancer and anti-inflammatory properties. Incorporation of these into diet could have potential health benefits for human gut. Interactions of microbiome and metabolites with the host’s cells play crucial roles in maintaining gastrointestinal (GI) tract balance.

## Methods and results

The aim of this study was to focus on the alteration of gut microbiomes and metabolomes by cranberry and PEITC enriched diets in wild-type (WT) and Nrf2 knockout (KO) mice, and the diets’ potential in reducing the risk of inflammation. Nrf2 KO mice had higher alpha diversity compared to WT. Cranberry and PEITC limited the inflammatory effect of dextran sulfate sodium (DSS) and increased the diversity of mouse gut microbiota. DSS challenge altered the production of several metabolites while PEITC and cranberry feeding reversed some of the changes. The enriched diets modulated the metabolic responses to induced inflammation likely via microbial composition alterations. Nrf2 KO mice had lower levels of short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) and amino acids such as phenylalanine, glutamate and proline, and higher levels of secondary bile acids such as dichloroacetic acid (DCA) and lithocholic acid (LCA) that are produced by gut bacteria, and muricholic acid (MCA) that it is a primary bile acid produced by mice including germ-free mice.

## Conclusions

Compared to WT, Nrf2 KO mice microbiomes exhibited higher richness and diversity. The results also suggest that PEITC and cranberry-enriched diets positively affected the hosts’ microbiomes and increased the production of microbial metabolites. Additionally, the dietary supplements showed the reversal of the negative effect of DSS-induced inflammation on the balance of Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes, the two major phylum in the hosts’ intestines. Taken together, this study indicates that the phenotypic expression of Nrf2 impacted the microbiota and metabolic reprogramming induced by DSS-mediated inflammation and dietary supplements of cranberry and PEITC.

# 1. Introduction

Microbial communities leaving on and in the surfaces of human and animal bodies can drastiacally affect the host’s health. These include archea, bacteria and fungi that are abundant that inhabit skin and the inner lining of the gastrointestinal tract (GI). (1). They help to metabolize essential nutrients, provide energy and interact with the host’s immune system. (2, 3, 4). For example, gut bacteria *Butyricicoccus Pullicaecorum* and *Butyricicoccus Pullicaecorum* produce butyrate, an important metabolite for human GI homeostasis and diseases prevention (5). *Lactobacillus* strains potentially involved in essential vitamins metabolism (6) and improvement of human sleep quality (7). Another group of bacterial strains, *bifidobacterium* have been suggested to influence higher cognitive functions in humans and to be associated with depression, pain and brain activity during stress. (8, 9, 10, 11, 12). Numerous studies have been conducted to explore gut microbiota composition responding to specific conditions such as high fat or high fiber diet, or inflammatory bowel diseases (17,13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21). In addition, research suggest that host’s genotype may influence the human gut microbiota, especially in infancy (22, 23). The combination of host genotype, gut microbiota and postnatal factors such as antibiotic usage, dietary pattern and environmental microbes shows significant influence on human gut development and homeostasis (24, 25). Hence, the underlying mechanism of such microbiota-host crosstalk is crucial but remains poorly understood.

Cranberry have been consumed historically by Native Americans as food and medicine (26). Today, cranberry is widely consumed as fresh and dried fruit, juice and sauce. The berries are known for their high content of proanthocyanidins, flavonoids and other organic acids (27, 28). Cranberry consumption have been associated with reduced risk of urinary tract infections (29, 30) and inflammation (31), and improved cardiovascular health (32).

Phenethyl isothiocyanate (PEITC) belongs to the isothiocyanate family of compounds which are formed when glucosinolates, a class of sulfur-containing compounds found in cruciferous vegetables, are hydrolyzed by myrosinase (33, 34). PEITC has been shown to have a wide range of biological activities including anticancer, antioxidant, and anti-inflammatory effects (35, 36).

The composition of the GI microbial communities determines the efficiency of processing food into metabolites including amino acids, bile acids and SCFA. The current study utilized C57BL/6J wild type (WT) and Nrf2 knockout (KO) mice to test diets incorporated with either cranberry or PEITC. Both food additives have been shown to enhance the production of some of the metabolites’ production. The health benefits of these phytochemicals include activation of Nrf2 signaling pathway and cancer prevention. The former is a master regulator of oxidative stress and inflammation. The aim of this study was to better understand the mechanisms by which cranberry and PEITC can influence the gut microbiome and microbial metabolite production, and further improve the gut health via reducing inflammation and achieving homeostasis.

# 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1 Animals and Study Design

C57BL/6J (WT) mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). Our lab has maintained C57BL/6J Nrf2 KO mice since 2005 (37, 38). Mice were kept in a controlled temperature (20-22°C) and humidity (45–55%) environment under 12-hour light/dark cycles at the Rutgers Animal Facility. Food and water were provided *ad libitum*. The study consisted of three experiments (shown in Figure 1).

All animals were fed with AIN93M standard grain diet (Research Diets, Inc. NJ) for the first 2 weeks of the experiments to equalize/normalize the microbiomes at the baseline. After the equalization period, the mice were randomized to treatment groups.

In the first experiment (Exp01), 18 Nrf2 KO mice were assigned to 2 groups with one group continuing to receive the control diet while 0.05% of PEITC was added to the second group’s diet. Further 10 WT mice were randomized into either the control diet or the PEITC-diet in the second experiment (Exp02). Finally, dextran sulfate sodium (DSS) was introduced to 20 mice to induce gut inflammation, and cranberry-enriched diet was added (10% of feed by weight), in the third experiment (Exp03). WT and Nrf2 KO mice were randomized into one of four treatment groups (Naïve, DSS, DSS+PEITC, and DSS+Cranberry) (Figure 1). 2.5% DSS was dissolved in autoclaved water and made fresh weekly. Fecal samples were collected fresh, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80oC until further analysis for 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) sequencing and microbial metabolites. Fecal samples for 16S sequencing were collected at weeks 1 and 5 in Exp01, weeks 0 and 4 in Exp02, and weeks 0, 1 and 8 in Exp03. Additional samples were collected from all the mice for metabolomic analysis at weeks 2 and 6 in Exp03. Since the fecal sample collection timing varied slightly between experiments, it was realigned and labeled as baseline (end of the equalization period, i.e., Week 0), early (weeks 1 through 2) or late (weeks 4 through 8) timepoints.

All animal experiments were conducted under the animal protocol approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Rutgers University.

## 2.2 16S ribosomal RNA gene sequencing and analysis

*PowerSoil DNA Isolation Kit* (QIAGEN) was used to extract bacterial DNA from the samples. PCR amplification of the 16S rRNA genes were carried out using PCR primers specific for the V4 region (Supplemental Table 1) (39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45). Indexed amplicons were pooled and sequenced on *MiSeq* (Illumina) yielding at least 8,000 300 base-pair (bp) pair-ended reads. Microbial operational taxonomic units (OTUs) and their taxonomic assignments were analyzed using Quantitative Insights Into Microbial Ecology (QIIME2) bioinformatic pipeline (46, 47) and Divisive Amplicon Denoising Algorithm 2 (DADA2 version 1.16) *R* package (48).

*QIIME2* mapped reference at 97% similarity against representative sequences of 97% OTU in SILVA, a high quality rRNA database (49), followed by chimeric sequences removal from subsequent analyses (50). Visualization of the microbiome similarities was performed using the results of principle coordinates analysis (PCoA) on the unweighted unique fraction metric (UniFrac).

*DADA2* pipeline was used to process *FastQ* sequence data files containing pair-ended reads with average length of 300 bp into a high-resolution OTU table (i.e., amplicon sequencing variants). The reads were sorted, and the quality scores were examined. This result in the truncation of forward reads to 280 bp and reverse reads to 220 bp based on the quality score profiles. The reads were then merged and aggregated. Chimeric OTUs were identified and removed. Taxonomy was assigned to the OTUs by exact matching (100% identity) to the SILVA reference database.

The OTUs mapped to the *Eukaryota* and *Archaea* Kingdoms, and the OTUs that could not be mapped to a Kingdom, were removed. Additionally, bacterial OTUs belonging to phylum *Cyanobacteria* were removed as contamination from diet. Finally, OTUs that were not mapped to any bacterial phylum were removed, and the remaining OTUs analyzed.

## 2.3 Microbial metabolites analysis

Microbial metabolites that included bile acids, free amino acids, and SCFA were quantified in fecal samples collected at weeks 2 and 6. Liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-MS)-based targeted analysis was performed to estimate the metabolite concentrations in the samples (51).

## 2.4 Statistical Analyses

Shannon diversity index was used to assess the alpha diversity in the samples at OTU level. This index can range from zero, which corresponds to having a single class (i.e., a single OTU) in the sample, to *ln(k)* with *k* equally distributed OTUs. The larger the values of the index, therefore, represent microbial communities with greater number of and more equally distributed classes of OTUs. The estimates were presented as means +/- standard error of the means (SEM).

Multivariable analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to estimate the effects of genotype, diet and aging, followed by multiple comparison with false discovery rate (FDR) adjustment for the p-values.

Principal components analysis (PCA) was utilized to investigate the bacterial composition of the samples at different taxonomic levels. PCA is a linear transformation that projects the data from the original *n*-dimensional, correlated space (here, each taxonomic unit was viewed as a dimension) onto a new, orthogonal *n*-dimensional space such that the first principal component (PC1) will be in the direction that explains most of variability in the data, while the second (PC2) - the second most and orthogonal to PC1, and so on. The results of the PCA analysis were visualized with biplots by plotting the data against the first two principal components and color-coding the points for genotype, diet or DSS challenge. Simultaneously, the biplots displayed the direction and the magnitude of the original axes (i.e., individual taxonomic units). To assess the predictive power of PCA, multinomial regression on group labels (corresponding to taxonomic units) vs. principal components was performed.

Heatmaps were used to visualize concentrations of metabolites in the samples. The group mean differences were estimated and tested using analysis of variance (ANOVA) for each metabolite individually and shown as boxplots with bars and stars indicating statistically significance between different groups.

# 3 Results

## 3.1 Data acquisition

The depth of sequencing varied between 30,008 and 422,283 reads per sample (Supplemental Figure 1). Over 94% of the OTUs were identified as bacterial. In total, 10,197 (94.78% of total OTUs), 7,994 (98.34%) and 7,558 (96.07%) bacterial OTUs were identified in the 3 experiments, respectively (Table 1).

## 3.2 Diet, genotype and inflammation affect bacterial community richness and diversity

The effect of Nrf2 KO was examined since Nrf2 is a master regulator of anti-oxidative stress and anti-inflammatory responses to external and internal stimuli (52, 53, 54, 55, 56). It was examined by comparing the Nrf2 knockout (KO; -/-) mice with the control, wild type (WT) mice across diets, DSS challenge and aging). Shannon index was used to estimate alpha diversity of the samples at the out level. The results are presented in Figure 2A. Shannon index average was significantly higher in the Nrf2 KO group compared to WT (p-value < 0.01), and increased as the mice aged, as estimated by a mixed-effects linear regression model. The index averages at both, the early and the late time points were significantly higher than at the baseline (both p-values < 0.01). Alpha diversity was also lower in DSS-challenged groups even when they received the dietary additives of cranberry or PEITC (both p-values < 0.01. Sequencing depth affects Shannon index as higher number of reads increases the probability of observing less common OTUs (Supplemental Figure 2A). Therefore, a sensitivity analysis was conducted to investigate Shannon index inflation due to sequencing depth differences by adding 1 to all OTU counts. This removed Shannon index correlation with sequencing depth (Supplemental Figure 2B). Repeating the analysis of the transformed count data showed that the genotype effect remained significant, with NRF2 KO samples having higher mean Shannon index compared to WT (p-value = 0.02). However, the differences of DSS+cranberry or DSS+PEITC with the unchallenged group became non-significant, with only the DSS+AIN93M group being significantly lower as compared to the control (p-value < 0.01). The results are shown in Figure 2B and suggest that the two additives had protective effect on the microbiome richness and diversity. The transformation also removed the aging effect.

## 3.3 Principal components analysis shows association of microbiome composition with diet and genotype

Next, OTU counts were aggregated at the *Phylum* level. In total, 22 phyla were identified, and the top 10 of which accounted for >99.96% of all the hits. Since deeper sequencing could increase the chances of identifying rare organisms (Supplemental Figure 3), and the samples varied quite greatly by sequencing depth (Supplemental Figure 1), rare phylum were excluded from downstream analysis. Data from the 3 experiments was combined for the analysis, however, each group of samples was visualized separately to highlight the differences between experimental conditions (Figure 4). The PCA suggested relatively high inter-experiment variability, specifically, the relative lower abundance of *Bacteroidetes,* and the relative higher abundance of *Verrucomicrobia* in Exp03 as compared to Exp01 and Exp02. Relative abundances of *Firmicutes* and *Actinobacteria* were higher in the WT DSS-treated mice in Exp03 as compared to all the other groups, while *Epsilonbacteraeota* were more abundant in all Nrf2-KO and WT control (AIN93M) groups as compared to the rest. DSS+PEITC samples grouped between the negative (no-DSS+ AIN93M) and the positive (DSS+ AIN93M) controls, suggesting the potential protective effect of PEITC on microbiome of DSS-treated mice.

The top 10 most abundant Phylum were used for further PCA. The analysis showed the strong effect of diet on the microbial composition. Specifically, relative abundance of *Firmicutes* and *Verrucomicrobia* increased, while relative abundance of *Proteobacteria*, *Deferribacteres* and *Epsilonbacteraeota* decreased, in all the WT DSS-treated groups as compared to the control diet groups (AIN93M). Exp03 data was reanalyzed separately to remove the potential of study effect in examining the effect of Nrf2-KO (Figure 5).

In total, 31 classes of bacteria were identified across the three experiments. The top classes17 accounted for more than 99.99% of the total hits. PCA showed strong negative correlation of Nrf2-KO with *Bacilli* class (phylum (p.) *Firmicutes*) that was consistent in all 3 experiments (Figure 6). Separately, Exp03 data was reanalyzed, with18 classes being identified in the samples out of which 16 classes contained almost all of the hits and were used in the analysis. Figure 7 biplot showed clear separation by genotype. The relative abundance of *Clostridia* (p*.* *Firmicutes*) was higher while *Betaproteobacteria*, *Deltaproteobacteria* (p. *Proteobacteria*), and *Epsilonproteobacteria*, as well as *Brachyspirae* (p. *Spirochaetes*), *Campylobacteria* (p*.* *Epsilonbacteraeota*), and *Deferribacteres* (p. *Deferribacteres*) were lower in all three DSS-treated groups. *Gammaproteobacteria* (p. *Proteobacteria*) and *Verrucomicrobiae* (p. *Verrucomicrobia*) had higher relative abundance in the DSS+AIN93M and DSS+Cranberry groups.

## 3.4 Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio

Biological activities such as aging, change of body mass index, and maintaining intestinal homeostasis have been linked to *Firmicutes* to *Bacteroidetes* ratio (F/B) (57, 58). Increased F/B ratio has been associated with obesity while the decreased ratio has correlated positively with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) (59). Therefore, the F/B ratio was used as an endpoint in this study to examine differences in microbiome composition across genotypes, diets and timepoints (Figures 8). The abundance of *Firmicute*s was lower or equal to the abundance of *Bacteroidetes* in Exp01 and Exp02 samples but the F/B ratios in the WT mice samples were higher than in the Nrf2-KO samples in all 3 experiments. Mixed-effects linear regression models was next used to estimate these differences in Exp03. First, a mixed-effects model with no interaction terms was fitted to the F/B ratios. The F/B ratio averages were significantly lower in DSS+PEITC and DSS+Cranberry groups compared to the AIN93M-no DSS treated control group (log2[F/B] = -0.51 and -0.46, and *p*-values <0.01 and =0.01, respectively). The average ratio was also lower in the Nrf2-KO group as compared to WT (log2[F/B] = -1.02, *p*-value <0.01). The control group’s average F/B ratio difference with the DSS control (DSS+AIN93M) as well as the differences between early or late timepoints vs. baseline were not statistically significant. Next, a genotype-diet interaction term was added to the model. It confirmed the significant association of F/B ratios with the genotype (log2[F/B] = -1.40, *p*-value <0.01), and the Cranberry or PEITC diets (log2[F/B] = -0.60 and -0.71, respectively, with both *p*-values <0.01). Furthermore, the F/B ratio of the AIN93M group was significantly lower than that of the DSS+AIN93M group (log2[F/B] = -1.40, *p*-value <0.01). These results suggest that PEITC or Cranberry dietary supplements preserved the balance of *Firmicute*s and *Bacteroidete*s in the intestines of the hosts treated with inflammatory agent DSS.

## 3.4 Linear Discriminant Analysis of aging and dietary effects

Linear discriminant analysis Effect Size (LEfSe) was conducted with QIIME2 to further examine the effects of diet and aging. The composition changes in the microbiomes over time were examined by comparing the control samples at baseline (shown in Figure 9A and B in red) with the early (Week 1, Figure 9A in green) and late (Week 4, Figure 9B in green) timepoints. Taxa with relative abundance (≥ 0.1%) present in at least one specimen were included. In addition, the cladograms, using lineages with Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) score ≥ 2.0, showing the phylogenetic distribution of the microbial lineages at different time points were displayed. The analysis showed that *Bacteroidetes Parabacteroides*, *Bacteroidetes Prevotella*, *Bacteroidetes S24\_7,* and *Bacteroidetes*, the relative abundance decreased, while *Bacteroidetes Bacteroidales*, *Firmicutes Clostridiales*, *Firmicutes Oscillospira*, *Proteobacteria Desulfovibrionaceae*, and *Tenericutes Anaeroplasma* increased over time.

Separately, the effect of PEITC addition to the diet was examined and presented in Figures 9C and D. The figures show the impact of PEITC diet by comparing the microbiota for control diet at baseline (Week 0, shown in red) and at the later timepoints (Weeks 1 or 4, shown in green). Relative abundance of *Firmicutes Ruminococcus* significantly increased and *Bacteroidetes S24\_7* significantly decreased at the later time points compared to baseline. Several bacterial taxa were shown to be correlated with diet. Specifically, *Bacteroidetes Odoribacter*, *Tenericutes Mycoplasmataceae* and *Proteobacteria Desulfovibrionaceae* were found in significantly higher abundance while *Firmicutes Clostridiales*, *Firmicutes Ruminococcus* and *Acidobacteria Ellin 6075* abundances were significantly lower in the control diet group compared to the PEITC group.

## 3.5 Cranberry and PEITC additives partially preserved metabolomic profiles in the DSS-treated mice

Fecal samples of the DSS, DSS+Cranberry and DSS+PEITC treated mice from Exp03 were collected at weeks 2 and 6 and analyzed for the concentrations of free amino acids, bile acids and SCFA.

Principal components analysis was conducted showing that concentrations of all but one (taurine) amino acids were higher in the DSS+Cranberry diet group (Figure 10A). However, for bile acids genotype rather than diet played a bigger role, with higher concentrations of all bile acids, specifically, LCA, DCA, MCA, CDCA, GDCA and GCDCA detected in the Nrf KO compared to WT (Figure 10B).

First few principal components were used as explanatory variables in multinomial regression models to classify the samples by diet, DSS challenge and genotype. The model with the first 3 principal components accurately classified 29 out of 48 samples (60.4%) by diet and DSS challenge, with the predictive power increasing slightly with the addition of PCs (Table 2). To classify the samples by genotype, however, a multinomial model with just PC1 was sufficient to correctly predict the group in34 out of 48 samples (70.8%) suggesting stronger separation of the samples by genotype (Table 3).

Univariable analysis of metabolite concentrations showed that DSS challenge altered the production of several of them while PEITC and cranberry infused diets protected against the changes (Figure 11A). Specifically, DSS challenge decreased the concentrations of amino acids such as glutamate, phenylalanine, and proline, but the concentration in the PEITC and cranberry fed mouse samples prevented these decreases (Figure 11B-D). PEITC and cranberry cotreatments also reversed the DSS-induced increases of secondary bile acids, mainly deoxycholic acid (DCA), lithocholic acid (LCA), and muricholic acid (MCA) (Figure 11E-G). In contrast, the diet additives had little to no effect on SCFA compared to DSS-challenged mice on regular diet (Figure 11H-J). These results suggest that PEITC and cranberry (rich in anthocyanins) are capable of modulating the metabolic responses to DSS treatment in the colorectal tract, potentially through their effects on the microbiome.

Lastly, the fecal metabolite concentrations from Nrf2 KO and WT mice samples were compared. Interestingly, the Nrf2 KO mice had lower concentrations of amino acids (shown by glutamate, phenylalanine, and proline) and SCFA, and higher concentrations of secondary bile acids (shown by DCA, LCA, and MCA) compared to the WT mice (Figure 12A-I). These trends mirrored the metabolic profile difference between the DSS-challenged and WT mice.

# 4 Discussion

The important role of diet and genotype on microbial composition leaving in the host’s GI has been systematically reported in the literature.

For example, significant increase of relative abundance of *Firmicutes* (*Clostridiales*, *Lactobacillales*, *Turicibacterales*) and *Verrucomicrobia* (*Verrucomicrobiales*) has been shown to co-occur with a rapid and consistent dietary response to low fat/high plant polysaccharide, and high fat/sugar diets in gene deficient mice. At the same time, *Bacterioidetes* (*Bacteroidales*) has been shown to decrease significantly in high fat/hi sugar diets. Additionally, dietary shift from low fat/high plant to high fat/high sugar diets significantly altered relative abundances of *Clostridiales* and *Bacterioidales* bacterial orders. . Utilizing gnotobiotic mouse model with transplantation of healthy human fecal sample, The low fat/high plant polysaccharide diet also decreased the relative abundance of *Firmicutes* *Erysipelotrichi*, *Firmicutes Bacilli*, and increased the relative abundance of *Bacteroidetes Bacteroidetes* compared with high fat/high sugar Western diet in gnotobiotic mouse model transplanted with healthy human fecal sample. In a four-week cross over trial, twenty-eight healthy subjects were given 60 g of whole grain barley, brown rice or equal mixture of two ingredients every daily (60). All three whole grain diets significantly increased the gut bacterial diversity, as measured by Shannon’s and Simpson’s indices They also showed increased relative abundance of phylum *Firmicutes*, while the abundance of *Bacterioidetes* decreased Genus *Bacerioides* was significantly decreased by whole barley and brown rice mix diet but was not affected by either of the single ingredient diet. In addition, genera *Roseburia*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Dialister* and *Odoribacter* were significantly altered only by the whole grain barley diet, and genus *Blautia* by both, mix diet and whole grain barley diet.

Opinions regarding the contribution genotype on human gut microbiota vary due to the potential confounding factors in the studies such as the diet and cultural differences. Simplified animal model in a controlled environment can eliminate the confounding between the genotype and gut microbiota. A 2011 study in mice (61) using automated ribosomal intergenic spacer analysis and length-heterogeneity polymerase chain reaction (L-H PCR) (62) suggested that the observed alterations of microbiome composition were genotype-dependent as all animals were housed at the same facility and given the same diet. Higher dissimilarities between genotypes than sexes were observed suggesting that genotype is a stronger factor than gender in regulating gut microbiota. Another mouse study showed evidence of gut microbiota association with genetic defect of toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2) (63). Genus *Helicobacter* was significantly elevated in TLR2 knock-out mice compared to the wild type. Additionally, some genetic defect such as NOD2 and ATG16L1 were linked to inflammatory bowel diseases and suggested the host-microbiota interaction by shifting bacterial composition including relative abundance of *Actinobacteria*, *Firmicutes*, and *Proteobacteria*.

The role of gut microbiome has been a focal point of many studies over the past several decades, with its potential beneficial effects in metabolizing essential nutrients, providing energy and enhancing immune system (2, 3, 4). For example, *Butyricicoccus Pullicaecorum* and *Butyricicoccus Pullicaecorum* produce butyrate, an essential metabolite for human homeostasis and disease prevention (5) while *Lactobacillus* strains are involved in essential vitamins metabolism (6). Our study demonstrated that host genotype and diet may alter gut microbiota. Both, bacterial diversity and individual bacterial strain relative abundances changed significantly based on diet and genotype, and Nrf2 KO genotype showed stronger effects on the bacterial diversity than diet. *Firmicutes*, *Bacteroidetes* and *Proteobacteria*, the most abundant bacterial phyla, have been altered by both, diet and Nrf2 KO. Individual bacteria at different taxonomic levels showed a pattern of being consistently affected by both, genotype and diet. For instance, *Firmicutes Ruminococcus* was found in higher relative abundance in the PEITC-added diet groups and in Nrf2 KO mice.

*Ruminococcus* are anaerobic, gram-positive bacteria and belong to the phylum of *Firmicutes*. So far, eleven *Ruminococcus* species have been identified and fall into bacterial family *Ruminococcaceae* and *Lachnospiraceae* (64, 65). Previous studies showed that *Ruminococcus* degraded and fermented cellulosic biomass into short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) for herbivorous ruminants (66, 67, 68). *Ruminococcus Torques* was reported to be abundant in the irritable bowel syndrome subjects in a placebo control double blind study (69). Multiple probiotic interventions were able to reduce *Ruminococcus Torques* abundance significantly based on results obtained from quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR), suggesting that *Ruminococcus Torques* may be used as biomarker in evaluating probiotic activity. As a part of normal flora in gastrointestinal tract, another *Ruminococcus* species, *Gnavus* showed to be in high abundance in the IBD patients, with increased level of oxidative stress in the gut (70), potentially caused by cytokine production such as TNF-a (71). *Firmicutes* has also been reported to be overpopulated in infants who developed respiratory and skin allergic diseases (72). Mice orally garaged by purified *Ruminococcus Gnavus* also developed airway inflammation by cytokine secretion such as interleukins 25 and 33. This study showed significant increase in the abundance of *Firmcutes Ruminoccus* in fecal samples at the late but not at the early timepoints irrespective of diet and genotype. Aging has been linked to the accumulation of harmful inflammatory bacteria in the guts but in this study, we found that the increased level of *Firmucutes Ruminoccus* was mainly associated with Nrf2 KO suggesting that Nrf2 KO accelerates the increase of *Firmucutes Ruminoccus*’s relative abundance. This suggests that Nrf2 might play an important role in regulating the gut microbiota profile and suppress pathogenic species such as *Firmucutes Ruminoccus* as the animal age.

Interestingly, we also observed that the phylum *Ruminoccus* were elevated at the early timepoint in the PEITC groups. *Bacteroidetes Rikenella* was also found significantly elevated in Nrf2 KO groups, suggesting that it may correlate with gut diseases (73, 74, 75, 76). Overall, genetic KO (mutation) has a strong impact on the host microbiota profile over time and should be considered as a biomarker when developing probiotic or microbiota intervention therapy in the future.

The current study suggests a strong association of mice genotype with gut microbiome richness, diversity and composition. However, a number of factors might have contributed to some of the observed variability. In a mouse study, the cage effect, i.e., housing arrangement of the animals, and individual mouse-to-mouse differences were attributed to explain up to 32% and 46% of gut microbiome composition variability, respectively (77). Possible ways to reduce the background noise in these studies include equalizing the microbiomes at baseline by feeding the animals with a control diet for several weeks or using gnotobiotic (germ-free) mice implanted with homogenized fecal samples (78, 79, 80). We employed the former approach in this study but the amount of variability at the baseline was still noteworthy. Gnotobiotic models typically result in much more homogeneous microbiomes at the baseline, but they are not without complications as they require germ-free facilities and the animals’ immune system may be affected by the lack of microbiome at the early stages of their lives. A good compromise is the use of animals pretreated with wide-spectrum antibiotics and provided with high fiber content food before implanting them with homogenized fecal samples (81).
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